

TUESDAY, JANUARY 2.

Mr. S. H. Parvin, No. 65 Fourth street, between Walnut and Vine, Cincinnati, is our authorized Agent to receive an receipt for advertising and subscription to the Kentucky Yeoman.

REMOVAL.

The office of the "KENTUCKY YEOMAN" has been removed to the new three-story building on Main street, near the corner of St. Clair.

Advertising.—This being the season of the year for making advertising contracts, we take occasion to call attention to the advantages of the Yeoman as an advertising medium. The paper circulates generally throughout the State, and our terms are moderate.

Mr. Wong.—We beg leave to remind our friend that we are prepared to do all kinds of Job Work in the men's number and on short notice. We have recently added considerably to this department of our office, and can now turn out as neat dry goods bills, circulars, bill heads, &c., as any office in the country, and on as reasonable terms.

Blanks of description of the latest forms, always on hand or printed to order.

Our friends down in Louisville must have had sport enough on New-Year's day to do them for a year to come. A least, one would judge so from the description given in their papers of the I. O. O. O. N.'s tournament on that glorious day. We regret exceedingly we were unable to be present. Our mule had lost his right ear, and was therefore unfitted for the splendid charge we anticipated making up of the enemy. Had it been for that we doubt not the chivalry of Richard *de Lion* and of Saladin would have perished before us, and we would have left behind us a name to "upset a moral or adorn a tale,"—our noblest tales. Regret are now unwilling, and we summon Philosophy to our aid, with the hope that when another such a scene gladdens the eyes of our Louisville friends

"We may be there to see."

FAVILLE METROPOLIS NEW YORK.—The Albany papers describe euthanistic in the applause they give the "hinder half of a dressed sheep" that was served up at one of the hotels in that city on Christmas-day. It was the Southdown breed, and from the flocks of *Mr. JAMES FOLLY*, of Fayette county, of this State. So large and plump did piece of mutton was never seen in the Albany market.

FUNERAL.—The funeral of the Hon. James T. Morehead, at Covington on, was attended by the members of the Masonic Fraternity, and a large number of friends.

Mr. JAMES M. ARNOLD, Esq., has sold his interest in the Louisville Hotel, and retired from the establishment. Mr. KELLY is now the sole proprietor.

EXTRAVAGANCE.—There is not a country in the world where the people become so extravagant in the mode of dressing and living as in the United States. It is one of the worst signs of the times. The habits of the mushroom aristocracy are really disgusting. How bold it looks to see boys sporting diamond by the dozen, and diamonds worth a thousand dollars a week, and even more. And girls—silly, simpering things, weighted down with jewels and bracelets—whose mothers broke their backs at the washing-tubs, scouring floors and picking oysters. The real, substantial aristocracy never indulges in such follies and fopperies.

When Gen. Whirfield, Indian agent, visited the Cherokees and a few other wild tribes of Indians, to pay them annuities this Fall, they informed him that next year he must bring them one thousand white squaws, and the balance they would take in money. They prefer a white squaw to a blanket.

The Hawesville Eagle state that houses in that town are about as high as in this city. Miners usually pay \$4 per month, for one room in rough and ill-furnished frame tenement, and a neat French cottage containing only two rooms, rents for \$175 per annum. Notwithstanding this, a good half acre lot can be purchased in that town for \$275 to \$325, by paying one-third each and the balance on a credit of four years.

It is said that Nicholas, of Russia, has derived from a lute-maker in Geneva, Switzerland, 100,000 musical boxes playing the national air, to be distributed to the besieged army in Sebastopol, hoping thereby to inspire every soldier to the most heroic deeds of valor.

KENTUCKY CATTLE IN BOSTON.—Our Bourbon friends have been furnishing the sold men of Boston with Christmas meats. Nine of the largest and most perfectly formed cattle ever seen in all "down east," were taken to Boston, by Mr. B. F. HIBLER, of Bourbon county, and sold for two thousand and six hundred dollars.

LIBEL SUIT AGAINST EDITOR.—In the case of *Suelter against Fuler*, in New York, the plaintiff originally claimed \$20,000 damages, but the jury rendered a verdict of \$25. It is stated that eleven of the jury were for a verdict of six cents—but the \$25 juryman about dinner hour, brought them all over.

Mr. J. C. Breckinridge arrived at his home with his lady, on Friday evening last. He took advantage of the interval of the day to visit his wife, who had for some time been in a water-cure establishment in Cleveland, in feeble health. Her health is now so much improved, however, that she concluded to return home in company with her husband; and they arrived, as we have said, on Friday evening—Maj. B. left this morning, on the cars, for Washington.—*Lex. Statesman*, 2d.

CONTRACTION.—The New York Tribune says: "An instance of the great contraction of obligations which has taken place among the merchants, we mention that one dry good's house has obligations due all next spring of less than \$20,000, whose payments last February alone, were \$20,000; and a grocery house whose payments last spring were \$5,000 to \$8,000 per day has not now a single maturing obligation afloat.

COURT OF APPEALS.

TUESDAY, Jan. 2.

CAUSES DECIDED.

Hobbs v. Ryeland, Nelson; reversed.

Hobbs v. Vowles, Nelson; reversed.

Smith v. Smith, Washington; reversed.

Wheeler v. Gregham, Pendleton; reversed.

Gebhart v. January, Mason; petition overruled.

ORDERS.

Shaw v. Gedding, Hobart.

Mowatt v. Mowatt; Hobart; overruled.

WATERS, JAMES.

Re: A. W. L. N. v. A. W. L. N.

Thom. v. T. & J. C. v. T. & J. C.

Barton v. B. v. W. S. v. W. S.

Hawkins v. G. v. M. v. G. v. M.

Riggs v. R. v. L. v. R. v. L.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

We find in the New York papers of last week a table of the weekly receipts of the Civil List, of which we have copied the following:—

Johnson v. C. S. v. Johnson.

Philip v. C. S. v. Philip.

W. & C. v. C. v. W. & C. v. C.

Briggs v. M. v. Briggs.

Parry v. A. v. Parry.

THE CIVIL LIST, OF NEW YORK, 1841.

